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#### Abstract

We introduce a new concept "geometric extending" for linear codes over finite fields and consider the extendability of divisible codes. As an application, we construct new Griesmer $[n, 5, d]_{q}$ codes for $3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+1 \leq d \leq 3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+q^{2}$ with $q \geq 3$, combining the known geometric methods such as projective dual, geometric extending and geometric puncturing.
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## 1. Introduction

An $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}$ is a $k$ dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ with minimum Hamming weight $d=\min \{w t(\boldsymbol{c})>0 \mid \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, the field of $q$ elements. The weight distribution of $\mathcal{C}$ is the list of numbers $A_{i}$ which is the number of codewords of $\mathcal{C}$ with weight $i$. A fundamental problem in coding theory is to find $n_{q}(k, d)$, the minimum length $n$ for which an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code exists for given $q, k, d[5,6]$. The Griesmer bound is a best known lower bound on the length $n$ :

$$
n \geq g_{q}(k, d)=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left\lceil d / q^{i}\right\rceil
$$

where $\lceil x\rceil$ denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to $x$. Linear codes of length $n=g_{q}(k, d)$ are called Griesmer codes. The values of $n_{q}(k, d)$ are determined for all $d$ only for some small values of $q$ and $k[4,13]$. For the case $k=5$, it is well-known that $\left[g_{q}(5, d), 5, d\right]_{q}$ codes exist for $q^{4}-2 q^{2}+1 \leq d \leq q^{4}$, $2 q^{4}-2 q^{3}-q^{2}+1 \leq d \leq 2 q^{4}+q^{2}-q$ and $d \geq 3 q^{4}-4 q^{3}+1$ for all $q$ [10, 11], see also [3]. Recently, we have proved the following.

[^0]Theorem $1.1([9])$. There exist $\left[g_{q}(5, d), 5, d\right]_{q}$ codes for $2 q^{4}-3 q^{3}+1 \leq d \leq$ $2 q^{4}-3 q^{3}+q^{2}, 3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+q^{2}+1 \leq d \leq 3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+2 q^{2}$ for all $q$.

The Griesmer codes of dimension 5 in Theorem 1.1 whose minimum weights are divisible by $q$ are constructed by the following algorithm:

Step 1. Construct a $q$-divisible code $\mathcal{C}$ with smaller length.
Step 2. Construct a $q^{2}$-divisible Griesmer code $\mathcal{C}^{*}$ as a projective dual of $\mathcal{C}$.
Step 3. Find skew lines in the multiset consisting of the columns of a generator matrix of $\mathcal{C}^{*}$ and apply geometric puncturing.

Our aim of this paper is to construct new Griesmer codes of dimension 5, by adding the following new step between Steps 1 and 2.
$\diamond$ Construct a $q$-divisible code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ from $\mathcal{C}$ by geometric extending.
Our main theorem is the following:
Theorem 1.2. There exist $\left[g_{q}(5, d), 5, d\right]_{q}$ codes for $3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+1 \leq d \leq 3 q^{4}-$ $5 q^{3}+q^{2}$ for all $q$.
Corollary 1.3. $n_{q}(5, d)=g_{q}(5, d)$ for $3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+1 \leq d \leq 3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+2 q^{2}$ for all $q$.

We give the geometric methods such as projective dual, geometric puncturing and geometric extending in Section 2. We prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 3.

Remark 1. We apologize that there are some typos in [9]:

- in Abstract, " $3 q^{4}+5 q^{3}+1 \leq d \leq 3 q^{4}+5 q^{3}+q^{2}$ " must be " $3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+q^{2}+1 \leq$ $d \leq 3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+2 q^{2} "$,
- in Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.4, the same as in Abstract,
- in Lemma 3.10, " $3 q^{4}+5 q^{3}+2 q^{2}-s q$ " must be " $3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+2 q^{2}-s q$ ".


## 2. Geometric methods to construct optimal linear codes

We denote by $\mathrm{PG}(r, q)$ the projective geometry of dimension $r$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. A $t$ flat is a $t$ dimensional projective subspace of $\operatorname{PG}(r, q)$. The 0 -flats, 1-flats, 2-flats, 3 -flats and $(r-1)$-flats are called points, lines, planes, solids and hyperplanes, respectively. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_{j}$ the set of $j$-flats of $\mathrm{PG}(r, q)$ and by $\theta_{j}$ the number of points in a $j$-flat, i.e., $\theta_{j}=\left(q^{j+1}-1\right) /(q-1)$. A hyperplane of $\operatorname{PG}(r, q)$ is defined as the set of points with homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ satisfying a linear equation $a_{0} x_{0}+a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{r} x_{r}=0$ with non-zero vector $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. We denote such a hyperplane by $\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right]$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code having no coordinate which is identically zero. Then, the columns of a generator matrix $G$ of $\mathcal{C}$ can be considered as a multiset
of $n$ points in $\Sigma=\operatorname{PG}(k-1, q)$ denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$. An s-point is a point of $\Sigma$ which has multiplicity $s$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Denote by $\gamma_{0}$ the maximum multiplicity of a point from $\Sigma$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Let $\Lambda_{s}$ be the set of $s$-points in $\Sigma, 0 \leq s \leq \gamma_{0}$, and let $\lambda_{s}=\left|\Lambda_{s}\right|$, where $\left|\Lambda_{s}\right|$ denotes the number of elements in a set $\Lambda_{s}$. For any subset $S$ of $\Sigma$, the multiplicity of $S$, denoted by $m_{\mathcal{C}}(S)$, is defined as $m_{\mathcal{C}}(S)=\sum_{i=1}^{\gamma_{0}} i \cdot\left|S \cap \Lambda_{i}\right|$. For $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k} \backslash\{(0,0, \ldots, 0)\}$, take the hyperplane $H=\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right]$ in $\Sigma$ and let $\boldsymbol{c}=\lambda_{1} \boldsymbol{g}_{1}+\lambda_{2} \boldsymbol{g}_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{k} \boldsymbol{g}_{k} \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\boldsymbol{g}_{j}$ is the $j$-th row of $G$. Then, it is well known (see [1, 6]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n-w t(\boldsymbol{c})=m_{\mathcal{C}}(H) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain the partition $\Sigma=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\gamma_{0}} \Lambda_{i}$ such that $n=m_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma)$ and the maximum multiplicity of hyperplanes is exactly $n-d$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n-d=\max \left\{m_{\mathcal{C}}(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{F}_{k-2}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Theorem 2.3 in [6]. Conversely such a partition $\Sigma=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\gamma_{0}} \Lambda_{i}$ as above gives an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code in the natural manner. A hyperplane $H$ with $t=m_{\mathcal{C}}(H)$ is called a t-hyperplane. A t-line, a t-plane and t-solid are defined similarly. Denote by $a_{i}$ the number of $i$-hyperplanes in $\Sigma$. The list of the values $a_{i}$ is called the spectrum of $\mathcal{C}$. It follows from (2.1) that the spectrum of $\mathcal{C}$ can be calculated from the weight distribution by $a_{i}=A_{n-i} /(q-1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-d$.

The method for constructing new codes from a given $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code by deleting the coordinates corresponding to some geometric object in $\operatorname{PG}(k-1, q)$ is called geometric puncturing [12]. An instant geometric puncturing is to remove some flat from the multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$ if possible.

Lemma 2.1 ( $[12,14])$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code. If the multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$ contains a $t$-flat $\Delta$ and if $d>q^{t}$, then the multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}-\Delta$ gives an $\left[n-\theta_{t}, k, d^{\prime}\right]_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ with $d^{\prime} \geq d-q^{t}$.

We note that the equality $d^{\prime}=d-q^{t}$ in Lemma 2.1 holds if an $(n-d)$ hyperplane not containing $\Delta$ exists. We consider the converse of the above lemma, namely geometric extending. We get the following from (2.2).

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code. If there exists a $t$-flat $\Delta$ such that every hyperplane of $\Sigma$ through $\Delta$ has multiplicity at most $n-d-q^{t}$, then the multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}+\Delta$ gives an $\left[n+\theta_{t}, k, \bar{d}\right]_{q}$ code with $\bar{d} \geq d+q^{t}$.

When can we find a $t$-flat $\Delta$ to construct an $\left[n+\theta_{t}, k, d+q^{t}\right]_{q}$ code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ with multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}+\Delta$ for a given $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}$ ? In general, it is not easy to find a $t$-flat $\Delta$ satisfying the condition in Lemma 2.2 even when $t=1$. We consider the possibility of geometric extending for divisible codes. An $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code is called $m$-divisible if all codewords have weights divisible by an integer $m>1$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $q^{s}$-divisible $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code with positive integer $s$. If there exists a $t$-flat $\Delta$ which is not contained in an $(n-d)$-hyperplane of $\Sigma$ with $t \leq s$, then the multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}+\Delta$ gives a $q^{t}$-divisible $\left[n+\theta_{t}, k, d+q^{t}\right]_{q}$ code.

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be an $\left[n+\theta_{t}, k, \bar{d}\right]_{q}$ code given by the multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}+\Delta$. For a hyperplane $H$ in $\Sigma$, it follows from (2.1) that $m_{\mathcal{C}}(H)=n-d-j q^{s}$ with some non-negative integer $j$ since $\mathcal{C}$ is $q^{s}$-divisible. For $j=0, m_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}(H)=n-d+\theta_{t-1}$ since $H$ meets $\Delta$ in a $(t-1)$-flat. For $j \geq 1$, we have

$$
m_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}(H)=n-d-j q^{s}+\theta_{t} \leq n-d+\theta_{t-1}
$$

if $H$ contains $\Delta$ and $m_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}(H)=n-d-j q^{s}+\theta_{t-1}<n-d+\theta_{t-1}$ otherwise. From the condition $t \leq s, \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is $q^{t}$-divisible from (2.1) and the maximum multiplicity of hyperplanes for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is $n-d+\theta_{t-1}$. Hence, from (2.2), we obtain

$$
\bar{d}=n+\theta_{t}-\left(n-d+\theta_{t-1}\right)=d+q^{t} .
$$

Finally, we recall the projective dual for divisible codes.
Lemma 2.4 ([15]). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $m$-divisible $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code with $q=p^{h}$, p prime, whose spectrum is

$$
\left(a_{n-d-(w-1) m}, a_{n-d-(w-2) m}, \cdots, a_{n-d-m}, a_{n-d}\right)=\left(\alpha_{w-1}, \alpha_{w-2}, \cdots, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{0}\right)
$$

where $m=p^{r}$ for some $1 \leq r<h(k-2)$ satisfying $\lambda_{0}>0$ and

$$
\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{F}_{k-2}, m_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}(H)<n-d} H=\emptyset . . . . ~}
$$

Then there exists a $t$-divisible $\left[n^{*}, k, d^{*}\right]_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}^{*}$ with $t=q^{k-2} / m, n^{*}=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{w-1} j \alpha_{j}=n t q-\frac{d}{m} \theta_{k-1}, d^{*}=((n-d) q-n) t$ whose spectrum is

$$
\left(a_{n^{*}-d^{*}-\gamma_{0} t}, a_{n^{*}-d^{*}-\left(\gamma_{0}-1\right) t}, \cdots, a_{n^{*}-d^{*}-t}, a_{n^{*}-d^{*}}\right)=\left(\lambda_{\gamma_{0}}, \lambda_{\gamma_{0}-1}, \cdots, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0}\right) .
$$

Note that a generator matrix for $\mathcal{C}^{*}$ is given by considering $(n-d-j m)$ hyperplanes as $j$-points in the dual space $\Sigma^{*}$ of $\Sigma$ for $0 \leq j \leq w-1$ [15]. $\mathcal{C}^{*}$ is called a projective dual of $\mathcal{C}$, see also [2] and [6].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 for $q \geq 5$ using projective dual, geometric extending and geometric puncturing. Note that Theorem 1.2 is known for $q \leq 5$, see [13]. A set $S$ of $s$ points in $\mathrm{PG}(r, q), r \geq 2$, is called an $s$-arc if no $r+1$ points are on the same hyperplane, see [7] and [8] for arcs. When $q \geq r$, one can take a normal rational curve as a $(q+1)$-arc, see Theorem 27.5.1 in [8].

In PG $(4, q), q \geq 5$, take a normal rational curve $K=\left\{P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{q}\right\}$ in the hyperplane $H=[0,0,0,0,1]$ as

$$
P_{0}(1,0,0,0,0), P_{i}\left(1, \alpha^{i}, \alpha^{2 i}, \alpha^{3 i}, 0\right), P_{q}(0,0,0,1,0)
$$

Table 1: $(4 q-2)$-solids for $\mathcal{C}$.

| type | number |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | $\binom{q}{2}$ |
| A2 | $q$ |
| A3 | $q-1$ |
| A4 | $q$ |
| A5 | $q$ |

where $\alpha$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Take a line $l_{0}=\left\{P_{0}, Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{q}\right\}$ as

$$
Q_{i}\left(1,0,0,0, \alpha^{i}\right) \text { with } 1 \leq i \leq q-1, Q_{q}(0,0,0,0,1)
$$

and a point $Q$, lines $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{q}$ and a plane $\delta$ as

$$
Q(0,1,0,0,1), l_{i}=\left\langle P_{i}, Q_{i}\right\rangle \text { with } 1 \leq i \leq q, \delta=\left\langle l_{0}, Q\right\rangle,
$$

where $\left\langle\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \cdots\right\rangle$ denotes the smallest flat containing $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \cdots$. Setting the set of $s$-points $\Lambda_{s}$ as
$\Lambda_{1}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{q-1} l_{i}\right) \backslash l_{0}, \Lambda_{q-1}=\left\{P_{0}, Q_{q}\right\}, \Lambda_{q}=\left\{P_{q}, Q\right\}, \Lambda_{0}=\Sigma \backslash\left(\Lambda_{1} \cup \Lambda_{q-1} \cup \Lambda_{q}\right)$,
we get the following $q$-divisible code, which was called $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ in [9].
Lemma 3.1 ([9]). There exists a $q$-divisible $\left[q^{2}+3 q-2,5, q^{2}-q\right]_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}$ with spectrum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{q-2}, a_{2 q-2}, a_{3 q-2}, a_{4 q-2}\right)=\left(q^{4}-4 q^{3}+6 q^{2}-4 q+1,\right. \\
& \left.\quad 5 q^{3}-12 q^{2}+10 q-3-\binom{q}{2}, 7 q^{2}-9 q+4,\binom{q}{2}+4 q-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which has five types of $(4 q-2)$-solids:
(A1) $H_{i j}=\left\langle l_{i}, l_{j}\right\rangle$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq q$;
(A2) $\left\langle\delta, l_{i}\right\rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$;
(A3) $\left\langle Q, P_{q}, l_{i}\right\rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-1$;
(A4) the solids through the plane $\left\langle Q, l_{q}\right\rangle$ not containing $l_{0}$;
(A5) the solids through the plane $\left\langle Q, P_{0}, P_{q}\right\rangle$ not containing $l_{0}$ and nine types of $(3 q-2)$-solids:
(B1) the solids containing $l_{0}$ and only one of $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{q}$ and not containing $Q$;
(B2) the solid through $\delta$ containing none of $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{q}$;
(B3) the solids through the line $\left\langle P_{0}, P_{q}\right\rangle$ not containing $Q, l_{0}$;
(B4) the solids through the line $\left\langle P_{0}, Q\right\rangle$ not containing $P_{q}, l_{0}$;

Table 2: $(3 q-2)$-solids for $\mathcal{C}$.

| type | number |
| :---: | :---: |
| B1 | $q$ |
| B2 | 1 |
| B3 | $q^{2}-q$ |
| B4 | $q^{2}-q$ |
| B5 | $q^{2}-q$ |
| B6 | $q^{2}-q$ |
| B7 | $q^{2}-2 q+1$ |
| B8 | $q^{2}-2 q+1$ |
| B9 | $q^{2}-2 q+1$ |

(B5) the solids through $l_{q}$ not containing $Q, l_{0}$;
(B6) the solids through the line $\left\langle Q, Q_{q}\right\rangle$ not containing $P_{q}, l_{0}$;
(B7) the solids through the plane $\left\langle l_{i}, P_{q}\right\rangle$ with $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ not containing $Q$, $l_{0}$;
(B8) the solids through the plane $\left\langle l_{i}, Q\right\rangle$ with $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ not containing $P_{q}$, $l_{0}$;
(B9) the solids through the plane $\left\langle Q, P_{q}, Q_{i}\right\rangle$ with $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ not containing $l_{i}, l_{0}$,
see Tables 1 and 2 for the number of solids of each type.
Now, we shall construct a $q$-divisible $\left[q^{2}+4 q-1,5, q^{2}\right]_{q}$ code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ from $\mathcal{C}$ by geometric extending. Take two points $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and the line $L$ as follows:

$$
R_{1}(1,1,0,0,0), R_{2}(0,0,1,0,1), L=\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}\right\rangle
$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be the code with $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}=\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}+L$.
Lemma 3.2. $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a $q$-divisible $\left[q^{2}+4 q-1,5, q^{2}\right]_{q}$ code.
Proof. By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to prove that $L$ is not contained in a $(4 q-2)$ solid for $\mathcal{C}$. There are five types of $(4 q-2)$-solids for $\mathcal{C}$ as in Lemma 3.1. The solid $H_{i j}=\left\langle l_{i}, l_{j}\right\rangle$ of type A1 does not contain $L$ since $H_{i j}=\left[0, \alpha^{i} \alpha^{j},-\alpha^{i}-\alpha^{j}, 1,0\right]$ with $1 \leq i<j \leq q-1$ and $H_{i q}=\left[0,-\alpha^{i}, 1,0,0\right]$ with $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ do not contain the point $R_{1}$. The solid $\left\langle\delta, l_{i}\right\rangle$ with $1 \leq i \leq q$ of type A2 does not contain $L$ since $\left\langle\delta, l_{i}\right\rangle=\left[0,0,-\alpha^{i}, 1,0\right]$ with $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ and $\left\langle\delta, l_{q}\right\rangle=[0,0,1,0,0]$ do not contain the point $R_{2}$. Assume that the solid $H_{1}^{(i)}=\left\langle Q, P_{q}, l_{i}\right\rangle$ with $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ of type A3 contains $R_{1}$. Then $\alpha^{i}=-1$, and $H_{1}^{(i)}=[1,-1,-2,0,1]$ does not contain $R_{2}$. Hence $H_{1}^{(i)}$ does not contain $L$. Suppose that a solid $H_{2}$ of type A4 containing $Q, l_{q}=\left\langle P_{q}, Q_{q}\right\rangle$ and not containing $l_{0}$ contains the point $R_{1}$. Then the solid $\left\langle Q, l_{q}, R_{1}\right\rangle=[0,0,1,0,0]$ contains $P_{0}$, and $H_{2}$ contains $l_{0}$, giving a contradiction. Hence $H_{2}$ does not contain $L$. Finally, suppose a solid $H_{3}$ of
type A5 containing $Q, P_{0}, P_{q}$ and not containing $l_{0}$ contains $R_{1}$. Then the solid $\left\langle Q, P_{0}, P_{q}, R_{1}\right\rangle=[0,0,1,0,0]$ contains $l_{0}$, a contradiction. Hence $H_{3}$ does not contain $L$. Thus, no ( $4 q-2$ )-solid for $\mathcal{C}$ contains the line $L$, and our assertion follows from Lemma 2.3.

As a projective dual of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, we get the following.
Lemma 3.3. There exists a $q^{2}$-divisible $\left[3 q^{4}-2 q^{3}-q^{2}-q, 5,3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+q^{2}\right]_{q}$ code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{*}$.

Lemma 3.4. The multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{*}}$ contains $q-1$ skew lines.
Proof. Note that the 0 -points for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{*}$ are the $(4 q-1)$-solids for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. There are nine types of $(3 q-2)$-solids for $\mathcal{C}$ as in Lemma 3.1. Note that the solids of type B1 or B2 contain the line $l_{0}$ and the others do not.

Suppose the solid $H_{1}^{\prime}$ of type B1 containing $l_{0}$ and $l_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq q$ and not containing $Q$ contains $L$. Then, $H_{1}^{\prime}$ contains the plane $\left\langle l_{0}, R_{1}\right\rangle$ containing $Q$, a contradiction. Hence $H_{1}^{\prime}$ does not contain $L$. The solid $H_{2}^{\prime}$ of type B2 through $\delta$ containing none of $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{q}$ contains $L$ since the solid $\langle\delta, L\rangle=[0,0,0,1,0]$ does not contain $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{q}$. There is only one solid of type B3 containing the lines $L$ and $\left\langle P_{0}, P_{q}\right\rangle$ and not containing $Q$ since the solid $\left\langle P_{0}, P_{q}, L\right\rangle=[0,0,1,0,-1]$ does not contain $Q$. Suppose a solid $H_{4}^{\prime}$ of type B4 containing the line $\left\langle P_{0}, Q\right\rangle$ and not containing $P_{q}$ contains $L$. Then, $H_{4}^{\prime}$ contains $\delta$, and $H_{4}^{\prime}$ contains $l_{0}$, a contradiction. Hence $H_{4}^{\prime}$ does not contain $L$. Since the solid $\left\langle l_{q}, L\right\rangle=[1,-1,0,0,0]$ does not contain $Q$, there is only one solid of type B5 containing the lines $L, l_{q}$ and not containing $Q$.

Suppose a solid $H_{6}^{\prime}$ of type B6 containing the line $\left\langle Q, Q_{q}\right\rangle$ and not containing $P_{q}$ contains $L$. Then, $H_{6}^{\prime}$ contains $\delta$, and $H_{6}^{\prime}$ contains $l_{0}$, a contradiction. Hence $H_{6}^{\prime}$ does not contain $L$. A solid of type B 7 containing the plane $\left\langle l_{i}, P_{q}\right\rangle$ and not containing $Q$ does not contain $L$ since the solid $\left\langle L, l_{i}\right\rangle=\left[-\alpha^{3 i}, \alpha^{3 i},-\alpha^{2 i}, 1, \alpha^{2 i}\right]$ does not contain $P_{q}$. Let $H_{8}^{\prime}$ be a solid of type B8 containing the plane $\left\langle l_{i}, Q\right\rangle$ and not containing $P_{q}$. Note that $\left\langle L, l_{i}\right\rangle$ contains $Q$ if and only if $\alpha^{i}=-1$. Hence $\left\langle L, l_{i}\right\rangle=[1,-1,-1,1,1]$ does not contain $P_{q}$, and there is only one solid of type B8 containing $L$. Finally, let $H_{9}^{\prime}$ be a solid of type B9 containing the plane $\left\langle Q, P_{q}, Q_{i}\right\rangle$ and not containing $l_{i}$. Since the solid $\left\langle Q, P_{q}, L\right\rangle=[1,-1,-1,0,1]$ contains $Q_{i}$ if and only if $\alpha^{i}=-1,\left\langle Q, P_{q}, L\right\rangle$ does not contain $P_{i}$, and there is only one solid of this type containing $L$.

Thus there are five $(3 q-2)$-solids for $\mathcal{C}$ containing $L$. Then the $(4 q-1)$ solids for $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ consist of the $(4 q-2)$-solids for $\mathcal{C}$ and the above five $(3 q-2)$-solids for $\mathcal{C}$ containing $L$. Recall that the 0 -points for $\mathcal{C}^{*}$ are the $(4 q-2)$-solids for $\mathcal{C}$. Since $l_{0}$ is contained in $H_{i j}$ and $\delta$ in $\Sigma$, the plane $l_{0}^{*}$ contains exactly $\binom{q}{2}+q+10$-points in $\Sigma^{*}$ corresponding to the solids $H_{i j}(1 \leq i<j \leq q)$, $\left\langle\delta, l_{i}\right\rangle(1 \leq i \leq q)$ and $\langle\delta, L\rangle$. The number of $s$-points with $s \geq 1$ on $l_{0}^{*}$ is $\theta_{2}-\binom{q}{2}-q-1 \geq q-1$. Recall that the plane $l_{0}^{*}$ is contained in the solids $Q_{1}^{*}, \ldots, Q_{q}^{*}$ in $\Sigma^{*}$. We set $\alpha^{\rho}=-1$, i.e., $\rho=(q-1) / 2$ if $q$ is odd and $\rho=q-1$ if $q$ is even. Then the solid $Q_{\rho}^{*}$ contains the plane $l_{0}^{*}$, the points $\left\langle Q, P_{q}, l_{\rho}\right\rangle^{*}$, $\left\langle L, l_{\rho}, Q\right\rangle^{*}$ and $\left\langle Q, P_{q}, L\right\rangle^{*}$. The 0-points contained in $Q_{\rho}^{*}$ out of the plane $l_{0}^{*}$ are
only these three points. Hence, the number of $s$-points in $Q_{\rho}^{*}$ out of the plane $l_{0}^{*}$ with $s \geq 1$ is $\theta_{3}-\left(\theta_{2}+1+1+1\right)=q^{2}-3$, and we can take $q-1$ lines in the solid $Q_{\rho}^{*}$ containing no 0 -point in $\Sigma^{*}$, meeting $l_{0}$ in a point.

Applying Lemma 2.1 (geometric puncturing) by deleting $j$ skew lines contained in the multiset $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{*}}$, we get an $\left[n_{j}=3 q^{4}-2 q^{3}-q^{2}-q-j(q+1), 5, d_{j}\right]_{q}$ code with $d_{j} \geq 3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+q^{2}-j q$ for $1 \leq j \leq q-1$. Since $g_{q}\left(5,3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+q^{2}-j q\right)=n_{j}$ for $q \geq 5$, we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.5. There exist $\left[3 q^{4}-2 q^{3}-q^{2}-q-j(q+1), 5,3 q^{4}-5 q^{3}+q^{2}-j q\right]_{q}$ codes for $1 \leq j \leq q-1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Lemma 3.5 provides the codes needed in Theorem 1.2 , when $d$ is divisible by $q$. The rest of the codes required for the theorem can be obtained by the normal puncturing of these divisible codes.
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