



Numerical Modeling

メタデータ	言語: en 出版者: IntechOpen 公開日: 2024-04-17 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): numerical modeling, dislocation modeling, pull-apart basin, restoration, Beppu Bay, Hoho Volcanic Zone 作成者: Kusumoto, Shigekazu, Itoh, Yasuto メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10466/0002000624

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,700

Open access books available

180,000

International authors and editors

195M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Chapter

Numerical Modeling

Shigekazu Kusumoto and Yasuto Itoh

Abstract

Numerical simulation to reproduce patterns of topography or subsurface structure is a useful technique for validating hypotheses regarding their forming processes and/or tectonics as their background. Here, the author describes an outline of dislocation modeling and several methods for applying it to reproduce topography or subsurface structure. Finally, it is reported that the formation processes of the Hoho Volcanic Zone proposed from geological viewpoints are validated by dislocation modeling.

Keywords: numerical modeling, dislocation modeling, pull-apart basin, restoration, Beppu Bay, Hoho Volcanic Zone

1. Introduction

Numerical modeling or numerical simulation is a useful technique for validating hypotheses and quantitatively evaluating phenomena. Analog experiments are also helpful for validating hypotheses and have often been used to analyze surface deformation or trishear zones caused by fault motions and to model caldera formation [1–5]. However, it is sometimes difficult to conduct laboratory experiments as analog experiments, depending on the scale of the structures or phenomena, because the similarity rule for the model, including physical properties, has to be considered. Additionally, issues with the quantitative analysis of the experimental results sometimes occur.

In contrast, numerical simulations are used for the validation and quantitative evaluation of various geological and geophysical phenomena, as they enable modeling on a realistic scale. Numerical simulation methods, such as dislocation modeling, finite element modeling, boundary element modeling, finite difference modeling, and discrete element methods, have frequently been used to solve solid earth science problems [6–14].

Pull-apart basins, the main structures in our study area, are known to form at the fault terminations of right-lateral, right-stepping or left-lateral, left-stepping fault zones [15]. Because the lateral fault motion creates subsidence at the fault terminations, pull-apart basins are formed at the terminations of the fault zone or fault arrangement area, as mentioned above, where the subsidence is superimposed, and they are widely distributed worldwide. Rodgers [6] was probably the first study to discuss the formation of pull-apart basins through numerical simulations using Chinnery's dislocation solution [16]. Currently, Okada's dislocation solutions [17, 18] are often employed to understand crustal deformation.

2. Dislocation modeling

Okada's dislocation solutions are closed analytical solutions that calculate the surface and internal deformation and strain fields due to shear and tensile faulting with an arbitrary dip in an elastic isotropic half-space.

In geodesy, these solutions have been employed for estimating fault parameters, such as fault displacement on fault surfaces (dislocation planes), fault positions, and dip, by inverse analysis of surface displacements obtained by GNSS, leveling, and other geodetic observations [19, 20]. However, in tectonics, we focus on the basic deformation patterns formed by fault motions and discuss whether a combination of fault motions can form the topography or subsurface structures estimated by geophysical prospecting [21, 22].

In restoration (reproduction) modeling of topography or subsurface structures, the analysis is conducted by forward modeling, in which the fault parameters are estimated through trial and error. The geological background and geophysical validities are considered in the modeling. The validity of the model for restoring topography or subsurface structures is determined by comparing the pattern of the calculated topography or subsurface structures with the pattern of the actual structures.

There is a technique in which large Poisson's ratios are assumed if the phenomena at geological time scales are modeled in elastic media [8, 22]. This method covers the influence of the cumulative deformation of the fault motion by the elastic constant, such as assuming a soft medium imitating fluid.

To reflect the multiple motions of active faults over geological timescales in dislocation modeling, Itoh et al. [21] introduced historical fault activities into the modeling by superimposing analytical solutions in which fault parameters for each fault motion are specified on the concerned fault. They attempted to reproduce the shape pattern of the Takayama Basin in central Japan by applying this technique and showed that it is a tectonic basin caused by the accumulated right-lateral motions of two active faults. In addition, it was revealed that this technique could explain not only the topography but also changes in the declination of thermoremanent magnetization. This technique has also been applied to validate the formation processes of tectonic basins distributed in central Hokkaido [22–24], and the fault motions and their combinations have been discussed.

Because these simulations focus on the shape pattern of the topography or subsurface structures, the calculated deformation field is often normalized by the absolute value of the maximum deformation.

3. Restoration of basement structure of the Hohi Volcanic Zone

A numerical simulation to reproduce the pattern of the basement structure of the Hohi Volcanic Zone (HVZ) [25], including Beppu Bay, was performed using dislocation modeling [26]. The basement structure was estimated by gravity analyses, considering surface geological information, drilling core data, and seismic prospecting data, and was shown to have three basins distributed along the Oita-Kumamoto Tectonic Line and Median Tectonic Line (MTL) [27]. Okada's dislocation plane [17] was employed in the modeling.

Following and simplifying the tectonic history of the HVZ, which Itoh et al. [28] proposed from a geological point of view, Kusumoto et al. [26] assumed that the basement structure was formed in three stages:

1. Formation of a half-graben (Stage I, Pliocene).
2. Formation of initial pull-apart basins due to the activation of right-lateral faults (Stage II, early Quaternary).
3. Growth of the pull-apart basins due to change in the active area of the right-lateral fault (Stage III, middle to late Quaternary).

In stage I, it was assumed that the Oita-Kumamoto Tectonic Line and the Kurume-Hiji Line [29] moved as normal faults under the strong N-S extension stress field. They formed a half-graben, which is the basic structure of the HVZ. In stage II, it was assumed that the MTL and the Kurume-Hiji Line moved as right-lateral faults under the E-W compression stress field. As a result, they formed an initial pull-apart basin (Beppu Bay and Shonai Basin). In stage III, the reduction in the active area of the MTL promoted the evolution of Beppu Bay. A series of numerical simulations showed that the tectonic models of the HVZ proposed by Itoh et al. [28] are also correct from a geophysical viewpoint.

In addition, Kusumoto et al. [30] assumed a two-layer model consisting of a basement and sedimentary layer in the HVZ. They attempted to calculate the gravity anomaly pattern caused by the basement structure reproduced by Kusumoto et al. [26]. They showed that gravity anomalies due to tectonic structures are mostly explained, except for the gravity anomaly caused by volcanic structures such as the Shishimuta caldera [31].

Author details


Shigekazu Kusumoto^{1*} and Yasuto Itoh²

1 Institute for Geothermal Sciences, Kyoto University, Oita, Japan

2 Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka, Japan

*Address all correspondence to: kusu@bep.vgs.kyoto-u.ac.jp

IntechOpen

© 2023 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. Distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited. 

References

- [1] Tsuneishi Y. Geological and experimental studies on mechanism of block faulting. *Bulletin of Earthquake Research Institute University of Tokyo*. 1978;**53**:173-242
- [2] Komuro H. Experiments on caldron formation: A polygonal cauldron and ring fractures. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*. 1987;**31**:139-149
- [3] Acocella V, Cifelli F, Funicello R. Analogue models of collapse calderas and resurgent domes. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*. 2000;**104**:81-96
- [4] Roche O, Druitt TH, Merle O. Experimental study of caldera formation. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. 2000;**105**:395-416
- [5] Jin G, Groshong RH Jr. Trishear kinematic modeling of extensional fault propagation folding. *Journal of Structural Geology*. 2006;**28**:170-183
- [6] Rodgers DA. Analysis of pull-apart basin development produced by en echelon strike-slip faults. *Special Publications, International Association of Sedimentologists*. 1980;**4**:27-41
- [7] Bertoluzza L, Perotti CR. A finite-element model of the stress field in strike-slip basins: Implications for the Permian tectonics of the southern Alps (Italy). *Tectonophysics*. 1997;**280**:185-197
- [8] Katzman R, ten Brink US, Lin J. Three-dimensional modeling of pull-apart basins: Implications for the tectonics of the Dead Sea Basin. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. 1995;**100**:6295-6312
- [9] Du Y, Aydin A. Shear fracture patterns and connectivity at geometric complexities along strike-slip faults. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. 1995;**100**:18093-18102
- [10] Finch E, Hardy S, Gawthorpe RL. Discrete element modelling of extensional fault-propagation folding above rigid basement fault blocks. *Basin Research*. 2004;**16**:489-506
- [11] Hardy S, Finch E. Discrete-element modelling of detachment folding. *Basin Research*. 2005;**17**:507-520. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2117.2005.00280.x
- [12] Panien M, Buitter SJH, Schreurs G, Pfiffner OA. Inversion of a symmetric basin: Insights from a comparison between analogue and numerical experiments. In: Buitter SJH, Schreurs G, editors. *Analogue and Numerical Modelling of Crustal-Scale Processes*. Vol. 253. London: Geological Society, London, Special Publications; 2006. pp. 253-270
- [13] Yamada Y, Baba K, Miyakawa A, Matsuoka T. Granular experiments of thrust wedges: Insights relevant to methane hydrate exploration at the Nankai accretionary prism. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*. 2014;**51**:34-48. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.11.008
- [14] Kusumoto S, Itoh Y, Takemura K, Iwata T. Displacement fields of sedimentary layers controlled by fault parameters: The discrete element method of controlling basement motions by dislocation solutions. *Earth Science*. 2015;**4**:89-94
- [15] Aydin A, Nur A. Evolution of pull-apart basins and their scale independence. *Tectonics*. 1982;**1**:91-105
- [16] Chinnery MA. The deformation of the ground around surface faults.

Bulletin of Seismological Society of America. 1961;**51**:355-372

[17] Okada Y. Surface deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space. Bulletin of Seismological Society of America. 1985;**75**:1135-1154

[18] Okada Y. Internal deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space. Bulletin of seismological society of America. 1992;**82**:1018-1040

[19] Lasserre C, Peltzer G, Crampé F, Klinger Y, Van der Woerd J, Tapponnier P. Coseismic deformation of the 2001 Mw=7.8 Kokoxili earthquake in Tibet, measured by synthetic aperture radar interferometry. Journal of Geophysical Research. 2005;**110**. Article ID: B12408. DOI: 10.1029/2004JB003500

[20] Hotta K, Kusumoto S, Takahashi H, Hayakawa YS. Deformation source revealed from leveling survey in Jigokudani valley, Tateyama volcano, Japan. Earth, Planets and Space. 2022;**74**:32. DOI: 10.1186/s40623-022-01593-7

[21] Itoh Y, Kusumoto S, Furubayashi T. Quantitative evaluation of the Quaternary crustal deformation around the Takayama basin, Central Japan: A paleomagnetic and numerical modeling approach. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. 2008;**267**:517-532. DOI: 10.1016/j.epsl.2007.11.062

[22] Tamaki M, Kusumoto S, Itoh Y. Formation and deformation process of the late Paleogene sedimentary basins in the southern Central Hokkaido, Japan: Paleomagnetic and numerical modeling approach. Islands Arc. 2010;**19**:243-258

[23] Itoh Y, Kusumoto S, Maeda J. Cenozoic basin-forming processes along the northeastern margin of Eurasia: Constraints determined from geophysical

studies offshore of Hokkaido, Japan. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences. 2009;**35**:27-33

[24] Kusumoto S, Itoh Y, Takano O, Tamaki M. Numerical modeling of sedimentary basin formation at the termination of lateral faults in a tectonic region where fault propagation has occurred. In: Itoh Y, editor. Mechanism of Sedimentary Basin Formation - Multidisciplinary Approach on Active Plate Margins. Rijeka: InTechOpen; 2013. pp. 273-304. DOI: 10.5772/56558

[25] Kamata H. Volcanic and structural history of the Hohi volcanic zone, Central Kyushu, Japan. Bulletin of Volcanology. 1989;**51**:315-332

[26] Kusumoto S, Takemura K, Fukuda Y, Takemoto S. Restoration of the depression structure at the eastern part of Central Kyushu, Japan, by means of the dislocation modeling. Tectonophysics. 1999;**302**:287-296

[27] Kusumoto S, Fukuda Y, Takemoto S, Yusa Y. Three-dimensional subsurface structure in the eastern part of the Beppu-Shimabara Graben Kyushu, Japan, as revealed by gravimetric data. Journal of the Geodetic Society of Japan. 1996;**42**:167-181

[28] Itoh Y, Takemura K, Kamata H. History of basin formation and tectonic evolution at the termination of a large transcurrent fault system: Deformation mode of Central Kyushu, Japan. Tectonophysics. 1998;**284**:135-150

[29] Kido M. Tectonic history of the north-western area of 'Kuju-Beppu Graben'. Memoirs of the Geological Society of Japan. 1993;**41**:107-127 (in Japanese with English abstract)

[30] Kusumoto S, Fukuda Y, Takemura K. A distinction technique between volcanic

and tectonic depression structures based on the restoration modeling of gravity anomaly: A case study of the Hoho volcanic zone, Central Kyushu, Japan. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*. 1999;**90**:183-189

[31] Kamata H. Shishimuta caldera, the buried source of the Yabakei pyroclastic flow in the Hoho volcanic zone, Japan. *Bulletin of Volcanology*. 1989;**51**:41-50

IntechOpen